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Important points

- fundamental theorem of arithmetic
- prime number theorem
the frequency of prime number in the natural numbers is

$$
\pi(n) \sim \frac{n}{\ln n}
$$

- Goldbach Conjecture

Every even number bigger than 2 can be represented as the sum of two prime numbers.

- Completion:
subtraction: $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$
division: $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$
root: $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$


## Last lecture

From counting to the science of patterns

## From the dictionary ...

Evidence or argument establishing or helping to establish a fact or the truth of a statement.

Convince others of the correctness

## ALL KINDS OF PROOF TYPES

- Proof by trivialization: ‘such a trivial thing does not need a proof'
- proof by usefulness: 'it would be so good if this is true'
- proof by necessity: 'if this is not true, that would be the end'
- proof by intimidation: 'don't be stupid, it's true, obviously!'
- proof by (wrong) analogy: 'that looks a bit like this one, thus it's true
- proof by intuition: 'I have the feeling it's true
- proof by authority: ' $X X X$ has said it's true'

Last but not least ...

## Mathematical proof

- confirm the correctness
- deduction/inference
- from axioms
- from already proven theorems or lemmas
- each single step can be checked
- written so that it can be understood

TRUE

## Mathematical truth and falsity

A proposition is either true or false. (No half-true!)

Connecting propositions

| $\vee$ | or | (disjunction) | $A \vee B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\wedge$ | and | (conjunction) | $A \wedge B$ |
| $\rightarrow$ | implies | (implication) | $A \rightarrow B$ |
| $\neg$ | not | (negation) | $\neg A$ |

NECESSARY, SUFFICIENT, AND BOTH
necessary $\quad A$ is a necessary condition for $B$ $x^{2}=1$ is necessary for $x=1$ $B \rightarrow A$
sufficient $\quad A$ is a sufficient condition for $B$
$x=1$ is sufficient for $x^{2}=1$
$A \rightarrow B$
both
necessary and sufficient condition $A \leftrightarrow B$

| $A$ | $B$ | $A \vee B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |


| $A$ | $B$ | $A \wedge B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |


| $A$ | $B$ | $A \rightarrow B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |

Truth table exercise

$$
(P \vee Q) \rightarrow R
$$

| $A$ | $B$ | $A \leftrightarrow B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |

Quantifiers
universal quantifier
symbol: $\forall \quad$ 'for all'..
Example: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: P(x)$
For all natural number $n, P(n)$ (holds)
existential quantifier
symbol: $\exists \quad$ 'exists'..
example: $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}: P(x)$
There is a natural number $n$ such that $P(n)$ (holds).
Other operators: $\exists$ !
negation of 'for all $n, P(n)^{\prime} \ldots$

$$
\neg \forall n P(n)
$$

becomes 'there is an $n$ such that $\neg P(n)$ '

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\neg \forall n P(n) & \Leftrightarrow & \exists n \neg P(n) \\
\neg \exists n P(n) & \Leftrightarrow & \forall n \neg P(n)
\end{array}
$$

DIRECT PROOF

Theorem
If for two sets $A$ and $B$ we have that $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$, then we also have $A=B$.

Proof

- (1) If $x \in A$, then due to $A \subseteq B$, also $x \in B$.
- By (1), all $x \in A$ are also $\in B$.
- (2) If $x \in B$, then due to $B \subseteq A$, also $x \in A$.
- By (2), all $x \in A$ are also $\in B$.
- By (1) and (2), we have $A=B$.


## Proof methods

DIRECT PROOF

Theorem
$(A \subseteq B) \wedge(B \subseteq A) \Rightarrow(A=B)$
Proof

- (1) $x \in A \wedge A \subseteq B \Rightarrow x \in B$
- (2) $x \in B \wedge B \subseteq A \Rightarrow x \in A$
- $(1) \wedge(2) \Rightarrow A=B$


## Proof By Contraposition

Direct proof

$$
\text { Assumption } \Longrightarrow \text { Conclusion }
$$

Assuming the Assumption, we proof the Conclusion.
Basic pattern of the contraposition

$$
A \rightarrow B \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \neg B \rightarrow \neg A
$$

Assuming the negation of the conclusion, we prove the negation of the assumption

EXAMPLE OF CONTRAPOSITION

Theorem ( $n$ natural number)
If $n^{2}$ is even, then also $n$ is even.
formula of the contraposition
If $n$ is not even, then $n^{2}$ is also not even.
Proof

$$
\begin{aligned}
n \text { is not even } n & =2 k+1 \text { is assumed } \\
n^{2} & =(2 k+1)^{2} \\
n^{2} & =4 k^{2}+4 k+1 \\
n^{2} & =2\left(2 k^{2}+2 k\right)+1
\end{aligned}
$$

thus $n^{2}$ is not even, too.

| $A$ | $B$ | $A \rightarrow B$ | $\neg A$ | $\neg B$ | $\neg B \rightarrow \neg A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Proof By contradiction
basic pattern
If we can deduce a contradiction assuming $A$, then $A$ is false.
Example
$\sqrt{2}$ is an irrational number.
Proof
Assume $\sqrt{2}$ is a rational number ...

## Homework

Infinity of primes
The are infinitely many prime numbers.
Proof
Assume there are only finitely many primes, then ...

## SUMMARY

- Concept of proof in the society and in science is different
- Proofs go from axioms step by step
- there are various different proof methods

Exercise sheet
Wednesday lecture: Induction
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